



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/565,445

01/20/2006

Chad Andrew Lefevre

PU030207

9891

24498 7590 03/09/2011
Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

ZHAO, DAQUAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2484

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/09/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/565,445	Applicant(s) LEFEVRE, CHAD ANDREW	
	Examiner DAQUAN ZHAO	Art Unit 2484	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (US 2003/0,016,944 A1) and further in view of Mori et al (US 5,854,873).

For claim 1, Kato teaches a method for time-shifting a presentation of multimedia content using a recorder ("time-shifting a presentation of multimedia content" is a statement of intend use because the time-shifting presentation does not distinguish over the prior art, and the prior art, Kato, is capable of performing a time-shifting a presentation of multimedia content by recording the content on a Hard-disk recorder 61 as shown in figure 1 and presents it to user in on monitor 90 in a later time; see MPEP 2105: "During examination, statements in the preamble reciting the purpose or intended use of the claimed invention must be evaluated to determine whether the recited purpose or intended use results in a structural difference (or, in the case of process claims, manipulative difference) between the claimed invention and the prior art...If a

Art Unit: 2484

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use as recited in the preamble, then it meets the claim. See, e.g., *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431 (Fed. Cir. 1997)”) comprising:

receiving a first stream of multimedia content on a first channel (e.g. paragraphs 79-80, figures 1 and 5, video signal received on channel A is recorded on area 11 of the hard disk 63);

storing the first stream of multimedia content to a digit data store associated with the recorder (e.g. paragraphs 79-80, figures 1 and 5, video signal received on channel A is recorded on area 11 of the hard disk 63);

receiving a channel change request during said storing of the first stream (e.g. paragraphs 80-81 and figure 5, a user operation for switching channel A to Channel B at point P6 as shown in figure 5 and encoded signals received on channel A and already stored in the buffer memory 55 can still be recorded on the hard disk 63 after point P6 as shown in figure 5 because the recording area 11 of Channel A goes beyond point P6 when a channel switching request is made);

receiving a second stream of multimedia content on a second channel correlating to the channel change request (e.g. paragraphs 81, 85, and figure 5, video signal receive from channel B is recorded in video area 13 of the hard disk 63);

storing the second steam of multimedia content to the digital data store (e.g. paragraphs 81, 85, and figure 5, video signal receive from channel B is recorded in video area 13 of the hard disk 63) while retaining the first stream of multimedia content in the digital data store (e.g. figure 5 shows video signal receive from channel A is

Art Unit: 2484

recorded (retained) in area (11) of the hard disk 63 while video signal of channel B is recorded on area 13 of the hard disk 63);

Kato does not further disclose receiving a rewind trick mode request; presenting the second stream of multimedia content in reverse; and presenting the first stream of multimedia content in reverse after reaching a beginning of the second stream of multimedia content.

Mori et al teach receiving a rewind trick mode request (e.g. column 61, lines 8-13, "...reverse play is selected by the user...");

presenting the second stream of multimedia content in reverse (e.g. column 62, line 42-47, column 63, lines 34-55, figure 54, VOB #8 of figure corresponds to a stream of multimedia content because VOB #8 is a stream of video and audio data as shown in figure 54, column 63, lines 1-3 disclose each VOBU contains plural video packets and in figure 53 and 54, one VOBU contains two video packets, and one VOB (video object) contains plural VOBUs (Video Object Unit), VOB #8 is reverse playback from the last VOUB); and

presenting the first stream of multimedia content in reverse after reaching a beginning of the second stream of multimedia content (e.g. column 63, lines 44-60 and figure 54, after reading from the first DSI packet of the (first) VOBU of VOB #8 of figure 54, if path C is taken, the last DSI of the (last) VOBU of VOB #6 is read. Thus, VOB# 8 corresponds to the claimed second stream and VOB #6 corresponds to the claimed first stream). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Mori et al into the teaching of Kato to

Art Unit: 2484

allow user to search the video data backward instantly without jumping back to the previous stream and using the fast forward command to search for his/her favorite scenes to improve the playback efficiency and giving user more convenience. The combination of Kato and Mori et al would work because the video data of Kato is recorded in MPEG format as disclose in paragraphs 79-80 of Kato and the entire invention of Mori et al is based on the MPEG2 standard as disclose in column 68, lines 3-5 and column 27, lines 8-13.

For claim 6, Kato teaches

an input port (e.g. figure 2, antenna BAT)for receiving a first stream of multimedia content on a first channel (e.g. paragraphs 79-80, figures 1 and 5, video signal received on channel A is recorded on area 11 of the hard disk 63);

a digital data store (e.g. figure 2, hard disk 63) for storing the first stream of multimedia content (e.g. paragraphs 79-80, figures 1 and 5, video signal received on channel A is recorded on area 11 of the hard disk 63);;

a user interface (e.g. figure 1 or figure 2, remote controller IF 79, paragraph 61) for receiving a channel change request during the storing of the first stream (e.g. paragraphs 80-81 and figure 5, a user operation for switching channel A to Channel B at point P6 as shown in figure 5 and encoded signals received on channel A and already stored in the buffer memory 55 can still be recorded on the hard disk 63 after point P6 as shown in figure 5 because the recording area 11 of Channel A goes beyond point P6 when a channel switching request is made);

a processor (e.g. paragraph 61, figure 2, microcomputer 76) for changing a channel to receive through the input port a second stream of multimedia content on a second channel correlating to the channel change request (e.g. paragraphs 81, 85, and figure 5, video signal receive from channel B is recorded in video area 13 of the hard disk 63) and storing the second stream of multimedia content to the digital data store while retaining the first stream of multimedia content in the digital data store (e.g. figure 5 shows video signal receive from channel A is recorded (retained) in area (11) of the hard disk 63 while video signal of channel B is recorded on area 13 of the hard disk 63); and

a video decoder that presents the multimedia content (e.g. MPEG 2 decoder 72);

Kato does not further disclose presents the second stream of multimedia content in reverse, then present the first stream of multimedia content in reverser after reaching a beginning of the second stream of multimedia content.

Mori et al teach presents the second stream of multimedia content in reverse (e.g. column 62, line 42-47, column 63, lines 34-55, figure 54, VOB #8 of figure corresponds to a stream of multimedia content because VOB #8 is a stream of video and audio data as shown in figure 54, column 63, lines 1-3 disclose each VOBU contains plural video packets and in figure 53 and 54, one VOBU contains two video packets, and one VOB (video object) contains plural VOBUs (Video Object Unit), VOB #8 is reverse playback from the last VOUB), then present the first stream of multimedia content in reverser after reaching a beginning of the second stream of multimedia content. (e.g. column 63, lines 44-60 and figure 54, after reading from the first DSI

Art Unit: 2484

packet of the (first) VOB of VOB #8 of figure 54, if path C is taken, the last DSI of the (last) VOB of VOB #6 is read. Thus, VOB# 8 corresponds to the claimed second stream and VOB #6 corresponds to the claimed first stream). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Mori et al into the teaching of Kato to allow user to search the video data backward instantly without jumping back to the previous stream and using the fast forward command to search for his/her favorite scenes to improve the playback efficiency and giving user more convenience. The combination of Kato and Mori et al would work because the video data of Kato is recorded in MPEG format as disclose in paragraphs 79-80 of Kato and the entire invention of Mori et al is based on the MPEG2 standard as disclose in column 68, lines 3-5 and column 27, lines 8-13.

For claims 5 and 11, Kato disclose receiving a play request (e.g. paragraphs 10, 12, 41, 47, 48, user can use the remote control to play back channel recorded in the cache for cache reproduction); and using a MPEG2 decoder 72, to decode the video and present the video data on the monitor 90. kato does not further disclose present the first stream of multimedia content, then presents the second stream of multimedia content after reaching an end of the first stream of multimedia content.

Mori et al teach present the first stream of multimedia content, then presents the second stream of multimedia content after reaching an end of the first stream of multimedia content (e.g. figure 55, the last DSI of VOB #5 is read, if path A is chosen, VOB #6 is read. This conclusion is based on the disclosure of claims 1 and 6 above, the arrow of figure 55 shows the reproduction direction of from VOB #5 to VOB#6, also see

Art Unit: 2484

column 66 lines 1-16, also see figure 53). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Mori et al into the teaching of Kato to allow user to search the video data instantly using the fast forward command to search for his/her favorite scenes to improve the playback efficiency and giving user more convenience. The combination of Kato and Mori et al would work because the video data of Kato is recorded in MPEG format as disclose in paragraphs 79-80 of Kato and the entire invention of Mori et al is based on the MPEG2 standard as disclose in column 68, lines 3-5 and column 27, lines 8-13.

For claim 9, Kato teaches a user input device through which a user can choose a user selectable function to perform a desired recorder operation (e.g. paragraph 41user can user the remote control the recording of a channel of interest);

4. Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (US 2003/0,016,944 A1) and Mori et al (US 5,854,873), as applied to claims 1, 5, 6, 9 and 11 above, and further in view of Shintani (US 5,751,371).

See the discussed of Kato and Mori et al above.

For claims 2 and 7, Kato and Mori et al do not further disclose the processor further assigns at least one identifier to each of the first and second streams of multimedia content to identify a sequence in which the first and second streams of multimedia content are recorded. Shintani teaches processor further assigns at least one identifier to each of the first and second streams of multimedia content to identify a

Art Unit: 2484

sequence in which the first and second streams of multimedia content are recorded (e.g. column 10, lines 22-34, lines 56-65 and figure 7, CPU 120 records information such as program number, channel number; a video-recording data, figure 7 shows that the program numbers are a sequence of number 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 corresponds to the recording data, which is listed in chronological order. The Examiner considers sequence of number 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 identify a sequence in which the first and second streams of multimedia content are recorded). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Shintani into the teaching of Kato and Mori et al for organizing the recorded programs and presenting the organized programs to user to allow user to identify his/her favorite program quickly.

For claims 3 and 8, Kato and Mori et al do not further disclose processor assigns at least one identifier to each of the first and second streams of multimedia content to identify a channel from which the first and second streams of multimedia content are recorded. Shintani teaches processor assigns at least one identifier to each of the first and second streams of multimedia content to identify a channel from which the first and second streams of multimedia content are recorded (e.g. column 10, lines 22-34, lines 56-65 and figure 7, CPU 120 records information such as program number, channel number; a video-recording data, figure 7 shows the channel number for each recorded program). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Shintani into the teaching of Kato and Mori et al for organizing the recorded programs and presenting the organized programs to user to allow user to identify his/her favorite program quickly.

Art Unit: 2484

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daquan Zhao whose telephone number is (571) 270-1119. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai Q, can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daquan Zhao/

Examiner, Art Unit 2484